Habitat Hydrology and Geomorphology Control the Distribution of Malaria Vector Larvae in Rural Africa
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Abstract

Background: Larval source management is a promising component of integrated malaria control and elimination. This requires development of a framework to target productive locations through process-based understanding of habitat hydrology and geomorphology.

Methods: We conducted the first catchment scale study of fine resolution spatial and temporal variation in Anopheles habitat and productivity in relation to rainfall, hydrology and geomorphology for a high malaria transmission area of Tanzania.

Results: Monthly aggregates of rainfall, river stage and water table were not significantly related to the abundance of vector larvae. However, these metrics showed strong explanatory power to predict mosquito larval abundances after stratification by water body type, with a clear seasonal trend for each, defined on the basis of its geomorphological setting and origin.

Conclusion: Hydrological and geomorphological processes governing the availability and productivity of Anopheles breeding habitat need to be understood at the local scale for which larval source management is implemented in order to effectively target larval source interventions. Mapping and monitoring these processes is a well-established practice providing a tractable way forward for developing important malaria management tools.

Introduction

There is a growing need to target malaria vector mosquitoes at their environmental resources through larval source management [1–8]. To implement such strategies effectively we need to be able to identify productive vector larval habitats [2,7,9–13]. Vector aquatic habitats are controlled by temporal and spatial hydrological dynamics [14] which need to be understood if habitat targeted interventions are to be successful [15,16].

Rainfall is a key determinant of malaria transmission [14], as it governs the availability of aquatic habitats required for breeding by vector mosquitoes. Despite this, observed relationships between rainfall and malaria transmission are variable [17] and poorly understood [18]. Recent advances in understanding of thermal drivers of malaria transmission [19–21] have not been matched by similar advances in our understanding of response to precipitation, despite this being the primary forcing climate variable in observed trends in malaria transmission in Africa over the last century [22].

Studies have demonstrated a link between habitat type and their ability to support vector larval populations [2,9,11,13,23,24]. However, such studies do not classify aquatic habitats according to the geomorphological and hydrological processes that control their formation and persistence [14]. This has led to inconsistencies when identifying the relative vector productivity of water body types. For example, Ndenga et al. [2] showed that the habitat type ‘puddles’ was the most productive, whereas Mutuku et al. [11] demonstrated that puddles are the least productive, both studies taking place in the western Kenyan highlands. Hydrologically speaking, a puddle is an ambiguous term as
they can form and persist due to a number of different hydrological processes. For instance, pluvial puddles that are rainfall fed will be vulnerable to evaporation and may not provide productive habitats, whereas puddles that form due to rising water tables may persist for a longer period of time and may therefore be more productive. In this sense, the two puddles are distinct in terms of their dynamics and their responses to meteorological conditions, and should be classified accordingly.

Do Manh et al. [25] also examined larvae in different water body types for a rural area in Vietnam. However, these water body types were classified by land use, with no consideration of their geomorphological setting and hydrological controls. For instance, ‘ground pools’ included buffalo wallows, borrow pits, natural depressions, fish ponds, and manmade drains but these habitats are controlled by different hydrological processes. Borrow pits are likely to be fed by localised direct runoff, whereas permanent fish ponds are likely to exist where water table levels remain at the surface or where springs allow the pool to exist independently of rainfall [14].

In northern Angola a negative relationship was found between malaria transmission and distance to rivers [26]. This study was conducted in the dry season but the importance of river channels for supporting productive vector habitats can vary throughout the hydrological year. Specifically, large perennial rivers with seasonally inundated floodplains can support a number of productive vector habitats shortly after the wet season, such as the Gambia [27] and the Nile in Sudan [28]. Whereas the cessation of river flow in ephemeral channels during the dry season can produce chains of shallow pools [14] providing productive vector habitats [29] but will be prone to flushing out during the wet season due to fast flowing water [30,31].

To improve our understanding of vector larval habitats, it is important to determine the geomorphological and hydrological processes that govern the formation of vector aquatic habitats [14]. Ignoring these can lead to misinterpretation of the influence of rainfall patterns on malaria transmission [17] which currently forms the basis, along with other environmental components including temperature and humidity, for disease mapping and modelling [14].

Earlier studies have shown the potential for linking hydrological process based understanding to malaria [32] and mosquito dynamics [33,34]. The aim of this study is to expand this approach to the landscape scale by linking geomorphological and hydrological processes with malaria vector habitat productivity within a large sub-catchment (200 km²). This was achieved by monitoring Anopheles larvae over a 12 month period across a range of aquatic habitat types classified according to their geomorphology and hydrology and comparing them to changes in rainfall, river stage and water table level.

Methodology

Ethics

Ethical approval was granted by the National Institute for Medical Research, Tanzania, and Ifakara Health Institute’s Review Board. Before larval sampling, verbal consent was requested from land owners and residents before entering fields or crossing compounds.

Study Site

The Kilombero River has a drainage area of 31,700 km² (Figure 1) and is one of the principal tributaries of the Rufiji River, the largest river catchment in Tanzania. The Kilombero Valley is located within an asymmetrical half-graben between 30-40 km wide and 200 km long. The floodplain lies between 210-250 m.a.s.l. and is flanked by the Udzungwa Mountains (maximum elevation 2580 m) to the north and the Mahenge Highlands (maximum elevation 1520 m) to the south [35]. These upland areas receive over 1400 mm rainfall annually and the Kilombero Valley receives over 1000 mm [36] which is usually divided into two rainy seasons. Short rains occur in December and January with the main rainy season extending from March through to May [37]. The Kilombero Valley, one of the best characterised malaria transmission systems in Africa, had some of the highest reported historical rates of malaria transmission [38]. It is also one of the most advanced examples of successful transmission control in an African context, with near-elimination of Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto [39], the most historically important malaria vector locally [38] and across much of Africa [40], following the successful scale up of long-lasting insecticidal nets [41].

The focus of this paper is a 200 km² area surrounding the village of Namwawala located 30 km to the west of Ifakara (Figure 1). The landscape is generally flat with hilly terrain to the north of the study area. The study area is drained by the seasonal Idando River which is typically 10 m wide and 2-3 m deep and is fed by two smaller tributaries at a confluence 5 km downstream of Namwawala. 20 km south of Namwawala lies the Kilombero River which flows throughout the year. During particularly wet years the Kilombero inundates the lower 7 km of the Idando sub-catchment but this did not occur during the sampling period of the present study. A majority of the local population are subsistence farmers [42] cultivating rice and corn without the aid of irrigation. Extensive burning of arable land takes place during the dry season to prepare the land for the planting of crops during the short rains which are harvested after the long rains in June [43].

Hydrological monitoring

Rainfall was measured using a network of tipping bucket rain gauges. To account for the spatial variation in rainfall [44], eight rain gauges were positioned throughout the study area, ensuring a good geographical spread at a range of elevations (Figure 1). River stage was recorded using three vented pressure transducers positioned along the length of the Idando River. The upper gauge was located in the village of Namwawala; the middle gauge after the confluence of three tributaries capturing a large proportion of the water that leaves the Idando sub-catchment through the river channel system; the lower gauge further downstream, 7 km from the main Kilombero River which, during particularly wet seasons, can flood, pushing water back up the Namwawala tributary. Water
table depth was recorded in four shallow (< 3 m depth) boreholes manually drilled into the soil.

**Entomological sampling**

**Water body type.** Potential malaria vector habitats within the landscape were classified by their geomorphological and hydrological setting according to the classification scheme following Smith et al. [14]. Figure 2 provides a summary of the different water body types identified in the Namwawala area. Below is a description of the hydrological mechanisms that control surface water availability within the water body types and their potential for providing a vector habitat. A photograph of each water body type is provided in Figure 3.

a. **Topographic convergence** water bodies represent areas of subsurface moisture accumulation [14]. Typically, such areas include valley and gully bottoms in small (< 1 km²) zero order catchments that do not have well developed channel networks. These are located in the hilly terrain in the north of the study area where rising water tables may intercept the surface resulting in surface ponding. This mechanism has previously been shown to be an important driver of vector habitat development in areas such as the western Kenyan highlands [16,45–49].

b. **Floodplain basins** are shallow depressions lying close to river channels, particularly those with prominent, natural levees. These are inundated when river levels exceed the height of the river banks and overtop levees. Some studies have found this to be a key process for the generation of vector breeding habitats. Notably, Bagh et al. [27] found that most breeding habitats of *An. gambiae sensu lato* in the Gambia were generated by this mechanism. Similarly, Ageep et al. [28] showed that habitats supporting *An. arabiensis* in an area of northern Sudan were mainly driven by overbank flooding from the River Nile.

c. **Palaeochannels** are sinuous linear depressions marking abandoned river channels that are no longer connected to active river channels. If the water table is sufficiently high, these depressions become saturated in a process similar to water bodies in areas of topographic convergence. During particularly wet years palaeochannels may reactivate with flowing water [14].

d. **River channel** water bodies are located within river networks. During the dry season river levels can decrease sufficiently for the river to stop flowing. This forms a series of disconnected pools along the river channel, whose location are controlled by the topography of the channel bed [14]. These pools have been identified as a source of malaria vector habitats in a number of studies [11,50,51]. For instance, van der Hoek et al. [51] found the majority of vector habitats in Sri Lanka to be associated with pools formed in streams and river beds. During the wet season, river levels increase and pools reconnect causing water to start flowing within the channel. Larvae of most *Anopheles* species can only tolerate still or slowly moving water and are therefore vulnerable to high river flows, highlighted by the modification of channels to augment water flow as a larval control method [4].

e. **Spring-fed pools** are water bodies fed by groundwater recharge and can persist throughout the year, independently of rainfall. This makes them important for sustaining vector populations through the dry season when many other water body types are likely to dry up [52–54]. Specifically, this provides a potential habitat for species...
that prefer permanent water bodies such as *An. funestus* [53].

Landsat satellite imagery acquired on 10th July 2001 (30 m grid resolution) was used to identify the main river channels and their floodplains (Figure 4). This imagery was also used to identify palaeochannels which appear as distinctive sinuous linear features either infilled with fine grained sediment (silts and clays) and organic matter that retain moisture making them appear darker than the surrounding landscape or comprising sandy deposits that form levees making them appear bright.

A Digital Elevation Model (DEM) was extracted from 50 cm stereo Worldview satellite imagery acquired on 12th February 2012 using standard photogrammetric techniques [55]. This was carried out using the DEM extraction tools within the image processing software ENVI [56] producing a DEM with a grid resolution of 2 m and a vertical accuracy of approximately 2 m [55]. This was used to identify areas of topographic convergence which have potential for the accumulation of moisture [16]. The DEM was also used to identify low-lying areas adjacent to river channels where flooding might occur. The features identified using the imagery and DEM were checked using field observations. There was also a single...

Figure 2. Diagram showing the different water body types. Included is a description of the key hydrological processes taking place in the dry and wet seasons within each water type found in the Namwawala area, Kilombero Valley, southern Tanzania. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081931.g002

Figure 3. Examples from each water body type. The water body types were classified according to their geomorphological and hydrological characteristics. (A) Topographic convergence: saturated areas driven by topographic convergence of subsurface moisture; (B) Floodplain basins: depressions within floodplains of active river channels with well-developed levees; (C) Palaeochannels: associated with relict palaeochannel systems; (D) River channels: pools located in perennial or seasonally active river channels; and (E) Spring-fed pools. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081931.g003
groundwater spring in the study area. This was not evident in the remotely sensed data and was mapped in the field.

Water body sampling. The landscape was divided into distinct geomorphological zones through manual interpretation of Landsat imagery and DEM data and a mapped groundwater spring. Random stratified sampling was used to distribute 65 sample locations within these zones (Figure 4) in proportion to the observed frequency of each water body type in the study area. All locations were situated within 3 km of an occupied house, within the typical flight range of female *An. gambiae* [57]. Eight points were located in floodplain basins, eleven points were located in areas of topographic convergence, and only one located in the groundwater spring. Numerous habitats, however, were located in seasonally active river channels (22) and depressions within palaeochannel systems (23).

Sample locations were determined by field visits during the dry season in September-October 2011. At the first field visit to each location, the closest standing water body within a 150 m radius was selected as the sampling location, and its position recorded using a handheld Garmin Etrex GPS receiver with a horizontal accuracy of approximately 5 m. If a water body was not found within the search area the sample location was centred in an area where a water body was most likely to occur. This was determined by looking for depressions in the

Figure 4. Larval sample locations categorised by water body type. The background image was captured on 10th July 2001 by Landsat Thematic Mapper. The image is displayed as a false colour composite (red = band 7, green = band 5 and blue = band 4) with bright green indicating developing vegetation, dark green indicating mature or sparse vegetation, purple indicating bare soil and black representing water.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081931.g004
local terrain and identifying features such as caked mud, the presence of hydrophilic vegetation and dried hoof prints representing a potential watering hole for cattle.

Each location was visited 13 times from November 2011 to October 2012 at a frequency of approximately once every four weeks. At each visit, all water bodies within a 25 m radius of the location were identified and up to five water bodies were selected at random for surveying and their location recorded using the handheld GPS. A description of the site was taken, including the width and length of the water body. This was used to estimate habitat size by calculating the area as an ellipse and taking the outer 50 cm to represent the shallow edges of the water body where larvae tend to occur [23].

A purposive dipping strategy was employed [7,24] using a 350 ml dipper, whereby dips were made in places most likely to harbour larvae, such as around clumps of vegetation or protruding substrate, amidst floating debris, and along the periphery of the water body. The number of dips was decided a priori based on the size of the water body to be surveyed. A minimum of 10 dips were taken at each water body with the number increasing up to 40 for large water bodies (> 40 m in length). Other studies have adopted the use of sweep nets to determine the abundance of larvae [2] but the dimensions of these nets exceed the size of small scale aquatic habitats, such as hoof prints, at the fringes of larger pools of water.

Each dip was examined in a white plastic tray. Anopheline and culicine larvae were differentiated macroscopically based on body position and morphology [54]. Counts were made of early (1st-2nd instars) and late-stage (3rd-4th instars) anopheline larvae [58]. Where the total number of larvae captured in all dips at a water body exceeded 10, a random sample of 10 larvae was taken and specimens were stored in separate 1.5 ml eppendorfs in 98% ethanol for subsequent molecular species identification. Where the total number of larvae per water body was 10 or fewer, all larvae were taken for species identification. Pupae were not counted because anopheline pupae cannot readily be morphologically distinguished from culicine pupae in the field [2,54].

Genomic DNA was extracted from individual larvae and the amplification of ribosomal DNA was made using a multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for identification of the four sibling species of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex ([An. arabiensis, An. gambiae s.s., An. merus and An. quadriannulatus ] [59]). Unamplified DNA was tested by a further PCR assay with the capacity to identify five species of the *Anopheles funestus* group including *An. funestus* s.s., *An. leesoni, An. parensis, An. rivulorum* and *An. vaneedeni* [60].

### Data analysis

**Hydrometric data.** Daily total rainfall was calculated for each rain gauge. Pairwise relationships between the gauges were analysed using Spearman rank correlations. The gauges were used to calculate areal average rainfall for the study area. Hourly water table depths were calculated by subtracting recorded water depth from the depth of the pressure transducer below the surface.

**Entomological data.** In order to focus on indicators of habitat quality for malaria vectors, the number of late instar *An. arabiensis* larvae per dip was estimated [61–63]. Analysis was restricted to *An. arabiensis* as this was the only primary malaria vector species found in sufficient numbers (Table 1). Estimated numbers per dip and confidence intervals were derived using Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) over the total number per dip of late instar stage anophelines and the proportion of *An. arabiensis* found in the PCR samples via bootstrapping of a mixture distribution. Analyses were performed with the geepackage [64], and the boot package [65] for R [66]. Contrasts in the number of late instar *An. arabiensis* larvae per dip between water body types were calculated using the Method of Variance Estimates Recovery (MOVEr) [67]. A detailed description of the statistical analyses of entomological data can be found in the Methods S1.

It is important to note that the above methods make a number of inferences that must be acknowledged. The quality of the data was not optimal owing to the presence of zeros in larval numbers, inaccessibility of some locations during the wet season, over-dispersion, unbalanced surveys, and lack of knowledge regarding the covariance structure of the residuals. As a result, our analysis is free from a time dependent structure accounting for time correlations in the number of larvae. However, as a precautionary measure, our sampling dates were set at periods long enough to minimise causality due to autoregressive processes (i.e. periods longer than a generation).

The bootstrap estimated number of late instar *An. arabiensis* larvae per dip, including upper and lower 95% confidence intervals, were multiplied by the total area of available habitat per water body type, based on field observation of water body dimension per sample round, to derive an area-weighted abundance estimate of late-stage *An. arabiensis* larvae. This was compared with the hydrometric data, aggregated to monthly time steps to match the entomological sampling frequency, using Cross Correlation Functions in R [66] taking into consideration lagged relationships. Due to the highly variable nature of the larval data autoregressive time series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Total <em>Anopheles</em> species count gathered throughout the sampling period and relative proportions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. gambiae s.l. complex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. Arabiensis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. gambiae s.s.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. Merus</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. Quadriannulatus</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. funestus group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. funestus s.s.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. Leesoni</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. Parensis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. Rivulorum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>An. Vaneedeni</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-amplified specimens</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081931.t001
analysis was not possible; this would require a much larger number of sample locations.

Results

Hydrology

The study area received a total of 1175 mm rainfall over the 12 month study period compared to a historical (1969-2010) annual average of 1186 mm recorded at a gauge near Ifakara. December was wetter than average and February was considerably drier receiving over 100 mm less rainfall compared to the historical average (Figure 5). Total monthly rainfall masks the intensity of individual rainfall events. Most notably, over 40% of the rainfall in both December and March occurred over a 24 hour period on 19th December and 16th March, respectively (Figure 6A).

Precipitation was not distributed evenly over the study area. For instance, on 19th December 131 mm was recorded at one rain gauge and just 18 mm was recorded at another less than 15 km away. Pairwise Spearman rank correlations showed that daily rainfall totals recorded at one pair of gauges were not significantly correlated (p = 0.52). The gauges were located only 20 km apart with a difference in elevation of less than 20 m. Rainfall recorded at all the other gauges were significantly correlated (p < 0.01).

River stage rose rapidly in response to rainfall (Figure 6B), particularly following intense rainfall events in December 2011 and March 2012. For instance, following the 16th March rainfall event the stage at the upper river gauge rose from 0 cm to 115 cm and fell to 4 cm over a period of four hours. Further downstream at the middle and lower gauges persistent rainfall kept stage heights above zero from April through to mid-July. During April and May 2012 the stage height exceeded the height of the river banks at the middle and lower gauges leading to overbank flooding. During this period, the water table remained high (Figure 6C) with one gauge positioned close to the Kilombero River recording negative depth values indicating that water was pooling at the surface.

Entomology

Of the 1998 larvae taken for species identification, a majority were unamplified in the PCR process (Table 1) and were likely to be other species of *Anopheles* which are not malaria vectors (PCR tested for all significant vectors in the region). No *An. gambiae* s.s. larvae were found and less than 2% were identified as *An. funestus*. *An. arabiensis* made up over 25% of the total count. Most of the specimens identified as *An. funestus* (33) were found in water bodies located within ephemeral river channels. These habitats persisted throughout the hydrological year as shallow pools in the dry season which connect during the wet season as flowing water.

The variation in estimated number of late-stage *An. arabiensis* larvae per dip in each water body type were shown to be variable over the sampling period (Figure 8). This was particularly true for the sample round immediately following the wet season (19th June 2012), during which estimated vector larvae per dip were shown to be significantly different between every water body type. Throughout the sampling period the spring fed pond habitat type tended to be distinct from other water body types, with the highest larval densities in the study area recorded in four out of the thirteen sample rounds.

Cross Correlation Function analysis showed that the area-weighted abundance estimate of late-stage *An. arabiensis* larvae across all the sites was not significantly related to the hydrometric data (Table 2). However, relationships existed when the abundance estimate was aggregated by water body type. Abundance estimates from areas of topographic convergence were positively related to river stage and were negatively related to water table depth reflecting a dependence

![Figure 5. Total monthly rainfall.](http://example.com/figure5.png)
on the wet season to raise water tables resulting in surface ponding. Floodplain basin abundance estimates were also related to river stage but with a one month lag. Here, flooding is widespread during the peak of the wet season but these water bodies only support vector larvae once the flood water has receded, due to infiltration and evaporation, to form smaller, shallower pools of water. Abundance estimates in palaeochannels had a positive relationship with river stage with a three month lag indicating that these habitats cannot support vector larvae during or shortly after wet periods.

Discussion

Nearly three quarters of the larvae identified to species level were not An. gambiae s.s., An. arabiensis or An. funestus, the major contributors to malaria transmission in Africa [39,41]. No An. gambiae s.s. were found and only small numbers of An. funestus were identified, whereas one quarter of the larvae tested were identified as An. arabiensis. The low densities of An. funestus are consistent with previous surveys of adult mosquitoes in Namwawala village [35,37,42,68]. The apparent absence of An. gambiae s.s. parallels observations in the adult population, reflecting the success of and long-lasting insecticidal net (LLIN) distribution programmes [39,41], suppressing anthropophagous species, such as An. gambiae s.s. and An. funestus, that are highly dependent on obtaining human blood indoors [69]. By contrast, An. arabiensis is not only more zoophilic, exophagic and exophilic, it also appears capable of safely entering and exiting houses containing LLINs even where and when it remained fully susceptible to their pyrethroid active ingredients [70,71], so this species can be described as being resilient to this vector control intervention [8,72].

A previous study has demonstrated an increase in vector population and subsequent malaria transmission at the height of the wet season [29]. However, this study found that overall area-weighted abundance estimate of late-stage An. arabiensis larvae fell across all habitat types during periods of prolonged rainfall associated with the height of the wet season in April and May 2012. This included a spring fed pond which is a permanent water body and is therefore assumed by the global index of malaria stability to be independent of seasonal fluctuations in rainfall [73]. Numbers of An. funestus were restricted almost exclusively to ephemeral river channels where water bodies persisted throughout the dry season as shallow disconnected pools, which reconnect in the wet season as a flowing river. This is consistent with observations of An. funestus behaviour showing them to have a preference for more persistent water bodies [53,74,75]. Although the precise location of such habitats may be difficult to predict, the ephemeral channels in which they are located are often mapped or can be readily identified in high spatial resolution (2 m) satellite imagery. Despite the availability of surface water throughout the hydrological year, no An. funestus were found in the spring-fed pond. Factors leading to this absence are uncertain and can perhaps be attributed to the relatively short study period leading to anomalous observations. However, environmental factors may also account for the absence of An. funestus, for instance the spring-fed pond is open and sunlit, whereas river channel habitats are characterised by overhanging tree canopies providing shade, a factor which has previously been shown to be significantly related to the abundance of An. funestus larvae [78].

Large-scale studies into climatic drivers of malaria transmission are often based on monthly aggregates of environmental data, including precipitation [14]. However, total monthly rainfall recorded in the Namwawala area over the sampling period masked the intensity of individual rainfall events, which can be an important indicator of a reduction in larval numbers due to the flushing out of habitats and displacement or death due to rain pounding [31,74]. This study found that daily measurements of rainfall are sufficient to capture these events.

Figure 6. Graphs showing (A) areal averaged rainfall from eight gauges distributed throughout the study area summarised as daily totals; (B) mean hourly river stage height with bank level reference line for the middle and lower gauges; and (C) mean hourly water table depth below the surface.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081931.g006
Figure 7. Plots of An. arabiensis estimates per water body type. (A) Bootstrap prediction estimates of late-stage An. arabiensis larvae per dip and (B) area-weighted abundance estimate of late-stage An. arabiensis larvae for each water body type. Area-weighted abundances and their 95% confidence intervals were calculated by multiplying estimated habitat size by the number of late-stage An. arabiensis larvae per dip estimated by bootstrapping a mixture distribution generated from GEE estimates of number of late-stage anophelines and the probability of finding An. arabiensis in the PCR samples. The hydrometric data is added for reference including hourly areal average rainfall, river stage recorded in the middle of the study site catchment and water table depth recorded towards the south of the study area.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081931.g007
larvae during the drying out phase of flood waters [28,77,78]. For instance, a significant relationship existed between estimated vector larval abundance in areas of topographic convergence with water availability. We have shown that significant differences in vector abundance occur in habitats when they were classified by their hydrology and geomorphological setting. Furthermore, significant correlations existed between larval abundance and hydrologic processes that govern the formation and persistence of different habitat types. Vector larval productivity shifts to different water body types throughout the hydrological year in response to rainfall and subsequent changes in water table and river stage. Specifically, floodplain basins and areas of topographic convergence became dominant in the wet season with vector larvae retreating to palaeochannels, ephemeral river channels and a spring fed pond during the dry season. These dynamics are driven by hydrological and geomorphological processes, many of which can be mapped using remotely sensed data with the exception of spring-fed ponds which are reliant on ground mapping. This approach can

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Correlation coefficients between the hydrometric data and area-weighted abundance estimate of late-stage <em>An. arabiensis</em> larvae per water body type.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>0 lag</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rain</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis carried out using Cross Correlation Functions. T = topographic convergence, F = floodplain basin, P = palaeochannel, R = river channel, S = spring-fed pond, WT = water table. Analysis carried out using Cross Correlation Functions. Significant to Bonferroni adjusted confidence intervals at 99%** and 95%*.

Figure 8. Contrasts in bootstrap estimated number of late-stage *An. arabiensis* larvae per dip using Method of Variance Estimates Recovery [67]. Black = significant difference (95% confidence), grey = no significant difference, blank = not available (due to absence of larvae in one or both habitat types). T = topographic convergence, F = floodplain basin, P = palaeochannel, R = river channel and S = spring-fed pond.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081931.g008

Area-weighted abundance estimates of late-stage *An. arabiensis* larvae were not significantly related to monthly aggregates of rainfall, river stage and water table. However, relationships became clear after the larval data was incorporated into models of malaria transmission, particularly those that are limited to the influence of climate and weather on parasite and vector development [80–82].

The main finding of this study is that the spatial and temporal variation in malaria vector larvae can be explained according to the hydrological processes that govern the formation and persistence of different habitat types. Vector larval productivity shifts to different water body types throughout the hydrological year in response to rainfall and subsequent changes in water table and river stage. Specifically, floodplain basins and areas of topographic convergence became dominant in the wet season with vector larvae retreating to palaeochannels, ephemeral river channels and a spring fed pond during the dry season. These dynamics are driven by hydrological and geomorphological processes, many of which can be mapped using remotely sensed data with the exception of spring-fed ponds which are reliant on ground mapping. This approach can
provide valuable information for larval source campaigns for targeting productive habitats, particularly during the dry season.

Supporting Information

Methods S1. Description of the statistical analysis of entomological data: Generalized Estimating Equations and Method of Variance Estimate Recovery.
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